

Parameters for Assigning Rating to Technical Reviewers (TRs)

S. No.	Parameters	Maximum Marks
1.	Draw-up the final report alongwith all its appendices, responses in Questionnaire, summary of final report, report on focus areas for review as per required format/s in a comprehensive manner covering all aspects as per scope of review in the terms of engagement.	05
2.	Quality of in-depth coverage of the requirements of technical standards in the review encompassing aspects of applicable AS/Ind AS, SAs, SQC-1, CARO, Sch. III requirements and other relevant laws and regulations including coverage of any additional aspects/questions within the scope of review and quality of evidence generated.	02
3.	Quality of presentation of report / summary of observations (well explaining the observation/s providing all facts and figures, technical requirements and final opinion given etc.).	02
4.	Timely completion of review.	01
5.	Non-compliance of the terms of engagement/directions issued by the QRB/ non-provision of clarification sought by QRB/QRG-I: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 1st non-compliance• 2nd non-compliance• 3rd non-compliance	(-2) (-2) (-2)

Rating scores:

S. No.	Rating	Marks Obtained
1.	Excellent	More than 8 to 10
2.	Good	More than 6 to 8
3	Average	More than 4 to 6
4	Below Average	Upto 4